BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 200/2014
(M.A. No. 205 of 2016, M.A. No. 206 of 2016,
M.A. No. 224 of 2016, M.A. No.585 of 2016 & M.A. No.815 of 2016)
(C.W.P. No. 3727/1985)

And

Original Application No. 501 of 2014 (M.A. No. 404 of 2015)

And

Original Application No. 146 of 2015

IN THE MATTER OF:

M.C. Mehta Vs. Union of India & Ors.

And

Anil Kumar Singhal Vs. Union of India & Ors.

And

Society for Protection of Environment & Biodiversity & Anr.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D.SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE JAWAD RAHIM, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE MR. BIKRAM SINGH SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE DR. AJAY A DESHPANDE, EXPERT MEMBER

Present Applicant:

Mr. M. C. Metha, Petitioner in person

Mr. Sanjay Up<mark>adhy</mark>ay, Ms. Divya Sharmaa and Mr. Shafique, Advs.

Mr. Pradeep Misra and Mr. Daleep Dhayani, Advs. for UPPCB

Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv. for UPCB

Mr. Anil Grover, AAG and Mr. Rahul Khurana, Adv., State of Haryana

Mr. I.K. Kapila, Adv. for UP Jal Nigam & UK Pey Jal Nigam

Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Adv. for State of U.P.

Mr. S.A. Zaidi, Adv. for Leather Industries.

Ms. Neelam Rathore and Mr. Shashank Singh, Advs. for Association of Textile Processors & Uttar Pradesh Dyes and Bleachers Association (Micro & Small) & MLA Group

Ms. Priyanka Sinha Advs for State of Jharkhand

Mr. Kundan Ku<mark>mar M</mark>ishra, Mr. Atul Batra, Advs. for Mother Dairy Pilakhua Unit

Mr. Rudreshwar Singh and Mr. Gautam Singh, Advs.

Mr. Motish Kr. Singh with Mr. Saurabh Sachdeva, Adv. for IFFCO (Noticee No. 653)

Ms. Panchajanya Batra Singh, Adv. with Mr. Dinesh Runiwal Scientist D and Mr. Ashwani Tiwari, for Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change Ms. Antima Bazaz, Advs.for AIDA

Mr. Gaurav Dhingra, Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Advs. for State of UP

Mr. B.V. Niren, Adv. for CGWA

Mr. Sanjeev Ralli, Adv. with Mr. Dinesh Jindal, LO, Delhi Pollution Control Committee

Mr. Ajay Kr. Misra Sr. Adv. and Mr. Kumar Anurag Singh, Advs.

Mr. Rashid Saeed, Adv. for CETP Banthar and Unnao Ms. Sushma Singh, Adv.

Ms. Yogmaya Agnihotri, Adv. for CECB

Mr. Atul Batra, Mr. Kundan Mishra Advs. for Mother

Dairy Pilakhuwa Unit

Ms. Alpana Poddar, Adv. and Mr. Bhupendra Kumar, LA. CPCB

Dr. A.B. Akolkar, Member Secretary, Central Pollution Control Board

Mr. Motish Kr. Singh, Adv. and Mr. Saurabh Sachdeve, Adv. for Noticee No. 653 (IFFCO)

Mr. Sanjeev Ralli, Adv. Mr. Dinesh Jindal, LO, DPCC

Mrs. D. Bharthi Reddy, Adv. for Uttarakhand

Mr. Jayesh Gaurav, Adv. for JSPCB

Ms. D. Bharathi Reddy, Adv. for Uttarakhand

Mr. Ishwer Singh, Adv. with Mr. NVS Seemakurti and

Dr. Parveen Kumar, Director for NMGC

Mr. I.K. Kapila, Adv. for UP Jal Nigam & UK Pey Jal Nigm

Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Adv

Mr. Ravi P. Mehrotra and Mr. Abhinav Kr. Malik, advs.

for UPSIDC

Present: Applicant: Mr. Gaurav Kr. Bansal, Adv.

Respondent No.3: Mr. Pradeep Misra and Mr. Daleep Dhayani,

Advs.

Respondent No.8: Mr. Mukesh Verma and, Adv.

Mr. B.V. Niren, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh Verma, Mr. Devesh Kr. Agnihotri Advs. for

UPCB

Mr. Abhishek Yadav, Adv Ms. Sushma Singh, Adv.

Present: Applicant: Mr. Rahul Choudhary with Ms. Meera Gopal, Advs.

Respondent No.2: Mr. Pradeep Misra and Mr. Daleep Dhayani, Advs.

Respondent No. 5: Mr. Sudhir Kulshrestha, Adv. Respondent No.8: Mr. Mukesh Verma and, Adv.

Respondent Nos. 11 and 12:Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv. SPENBIO

Mr. Abhishek Y<mark>a</mark>dav, Adv

Mr. Mukesh Ve<mark>rma, Adv.</mark> for UPCB

Ms. Panchajanya Batra Singh, Adv. for Ministry of

Environment, Forest and Climate Change Mr. Rajkumar, Adv. and Mr. Bhupendra Kumar,

LA, CPCB

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item No. 12 to 14	The Uttar Pradesh State Government, Uttar Pradesh
October 18, 2016	Jal Nigam, Uttar Pradesh Pollution Control Board and
ss	Central Pollution Control Board are directed to file a
	comprehensive map sketch giving the following:-
	1. All the drains and the rivers joining the river Ganga
	or its main tributaries in Segment – B which now
	will be from Haridwar City Border to Unnao in
	District Kanpur.
	2. The points where industrial effluents and sewage is

Item No. 12 to 14 October 18, 2016 ss release into the respective Rivers.

- 3. The quantum (load of BOD) of industrial waste effluent and sewage that is being discharged into the river/ drains.
- 4. The existing STPs/CETPs and whether they are functional or not and if they are functional whether they are capable of treating the various pollutants of industrial effluent, sewage and coliform.
- 5. The projected STPs/CETPs by the State itself or in collaboration with Ministry of Water Resources.
- 6. Industrial clusters falling on the bank or near the River Ganga or its tributaries.

All these would be shown in different colours with a complete legend and shall be signed by all the concerned Authorities.

We may also notice that during course of hearing it has been brought to our notice that as per list of industries released by Government of India, there are nearly 1 Lakh industries minor and major falling in Segment 'B' of Phase-I. However, according to the Uttar Pradesh Pollution Control Board and the Central Pollution Control Board there are nearly 1070 Seriously Polluting Industries (SPIs) and Grossly Polluting Industries (GPIs). However, it is not clear as to whether there are other industries and if so what manufacturing or other activity they are carrying on and what kind of trade effluent do they discharge. Let Uttar Pradesh Pollution Control Board consultation **Authorities** including in with other

Item No. 12 to 14 October 18, 2016 ss Government of Uttar Pradesh and Central Pollution
Control Board file a correct statement giving number of
industries falling in Segment - 'B' of Phase–I. It should
also be stated if the industries are registered with
Directorate of Industries or not or whether they have been
granted consent by the Uttar Pradesh Pollution Control
Board to operate or not.

We are in the process of hearing arguments on Segment 'B' which has been expanded a little to the extent that it would start from the boundary of Haridwar to Unnao instead of limit of Kanpur. This is for the reason that tannery industries, which is one of the main cause of releasing pollutants into the river Ganga in this Segment, are located at Unnao and will have to dealt with at parity with the tannery industries at Jajmau, Kanpur. working of the Authorities is pathetic with the passage of time huge amount of public money has been spent by these public authorities, but while they are only and only adding to the greater and severe load of pollution to river Ganga and its tributaries. Even today it cannot be informed to us as to how many drains carry industrial effluents, sewage and other discharge to the river Ganga or its tributaries. There are other factors which create a serious doubts about the very intent of the State of U.P. or its public authorities in an effort to clean river Ganga in a systematic and proper way.

Since we are hearing the matter and the basic question that the Tribunal would have to answer amongst other is whether the approach of cleaning of city or Item No. 12 to 14 October 18, 2016 ss cleaning of river at the first instance is the approach to be adopted. Ill-planning, unscientific approach and no future estimation has lead to chaos in which the river Ganga today is.

We therefore direct that during the course of hearing the State of Uttar Pradesh and its Public Authorities and Nigam will not carry out any major project of sewage, establishment of STPs/CEPTs except day-to-day maintenance work of the pipelines and the plant in Segment 'B' without the specific directions of the Tribunal.

We grant liberty to the concerned authorities to move the Tribunal if any specific work they are desirous of executing during the pendency of this application.

List these matters tomorrow i.e. on 19th October, 2016.

(Swatanter Kumar)
,JM (U.D. Salvi)
,JM (Dr. Jawad Rahim)
,EM (Bikram Singh Sajwan)
,EM